of the midwives during 1925 (i) at their respective headquarters, and (ii) in the moffusil.

(*e*) The amount of establishment charges on account of midwives in places other than the cities of Bangalore and Mysore and the district headquarter places and the sources from which they are met.

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—4. (*a*) There are 107 Local Fund Dispensaries with in-patient wards in the State. Twelve dispensaries have 4 beds each and in the rest, the number of beds is usually 2. For further details, please see Col. 1 of statement attached. (*Vide* Appendix I.)

(*b*) Col. 2 in the statement gives the details required by the member.

(*c*) The total expenditure incurred on the maintenance of in-patient wards was Rs. 1,090 against a sum of Rs. 9,069 available for the purpose. It is reported that most of the in-patients in the moffusil are generally self-dieted.

(*d*) and (*e*) The last two columns of the statement give the particulars required by the member.

The charges on account of midwives are met from District and Municipal Funds in municipal towns and from District Funds alone, in non-municipal areas.

BY MR. THIMME GOWDA *alias* THAMMIAH GOWDA (Krishnarajapete Taluk).—

Q.—5. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) When the Sindhaghatta tank breached?

(*b*) What is the extent of land that has been rendered unfit for wet cultivation owing to the breach of the tank?

(*c*) Has any estimate been prepared for the restoration of the tank? If so, when?

(*d*) Has it been sanctioned?

(*e*) If it is not sanctioned, why?

(*f*) Is it not possible to restore the tank early?

(*g*) Whether they will order the recovery of only the dry assessment in place of wet assessment for the lands under it till it is restored?

(*h*) Are the Government aware that wet assessment is being levied on the lands under the tank even though wet crop is not raised? Whether this cannot be remedied?

(*i*) Whether the raiyats would pay contribution again?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—*A*.—5. (*a*) In November 1922.

(*b*) About 20 to 25 acres at that time.

(*c*) A complete project for breach-filling and construction of a new sluice is under preparation.

(*d*) Not yet.

(*e*) *Vide* (*c*) above.

(*f*) Yes.

(*g*) Wet assessment for the entire area has been recovered till the end of 1924-25. The question of remitting wet assessment on these lands for 1925-26 is under correspondence.

(*h*) In view of reply to question (*g*) above, this question does not arise.

(*i*) This will be considered when the estimate for repairing the breach is submitted.

The question of recovery of further contribution has not arisen, as the contribution of Rs. 1,808-14-0 as per sanctioned project was already recovered.

Supplementary Questions.

Mr. B. L. Byanna.—

When the Sindhaghatta tank breached so early as in November 1922, and when no wet crop was raised on the atchkat since then, is it not unjust to levy wet assessment on the lands in that locality?

First Member of Council.—

The matter will be enquired into and the question of refund will be considered.

Mr. Belur Srinivasaiengar.—

May I know why the Government have not taken up the restoration of the tank even though it breached so long ago as 1922?

First Member of Council.—

Government cannot repair all the breached tanks at one time. The work will be taken up according to a programme.

Mr. Belur Srinivasaiengar.—

Has the estimate been sanctioned?

Revenue Secretary.—

Instructions have been issued to the Chief Engineer for preparing a revised estimate. When this is sanctioned by Government, the work will be put in hand.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar.—

Did the Government order the preparation of an estimate for the restoration of the tank as soon as it breached? If so, when?

First Member of Council.—

Government cannot say off-hand when they called for an estimate.

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar.—

Is it not a fact that when this matter was brought up before this House two years back, the then First Member of Council replied that proper attention would be given? Was it not the duty of Government to order the restoration of this tank without delay seeing that wet assessment was being levied?

First Member of Council.—

The question of remitting wet assessment on these lands will be considered.

Mr. A. Ananthaiya.—

The reply to (*h*) is not adequate. Will Government remit the wet assessment on these lands at least this year?

First Member of Council.—

The matter is under correspondence and will receive the best attention of Government.

Dewan-President.—

Government will devote special attention to the question of restoring breached tanks.